



SKEP III Home Office Support Site Visit Report

**WAHIB
MEDANAT**
consultant engineers

Consultant	Wahib Medanat Consultant Engineer	Rep No.	22-23052022
Contractor	Dijlah Establishment Constr. Contracting	Tender No.	(11/2019/USAID/SKEP/3/S) Schools for a Knowledge Economy Project (SKEP) - Phase (3) Package (1, 2)
Site Name	Thahr Al Sarow Basic School for Boys- Jerash	Day/ Date	Mon. 23/05/2022
Duration of Project	450 Calendar Days	Total Project Budget	8,314,983.735 JD

No.	Visitors		Remarks
1	Suhair Amarin	- Project Dir./Head of Architectural Department	
2	Hashem Abu Kwaik	- Senior Civil Engineer	
3	Akram Khammes	- Head of Electrical Department	
4	Marwan Sonna'a	- Head of Mechanical Department	
5	Hasan Shaqboua	- Quality Control Manager	

Visit Notes

No.	Description
1	The supervision team inspected the built stones at façades and the defects previously observed and agreed with the Contractor in the presence of the supplier to replace the stone of the front elevation. And to re-execute according to the method of statement.
2	The team observed a number of broken window sills and request the Contractor to repair.
3	Deviation in the verticality and horizontality of some built stones was observed in some areas.
4	The team discussed with the Contractor the tiling for the entrance floor and reminded the Contractor that the sloping of the tiling has to be directed to the outside to ensure water drainage away from the building.



No.	Description
5	The team inspected the installed main steel doors at the entrances of the building and observed deviation from the workshop drawings and architectural details. Difficulties in using the external door handle were observed due to wrong dimensions of steel sections.
6	The team observed that the wall tiling in some areas has been executed not in compliance with the workshop drawings. That led to cut-off pieces around 2.5.cm which is considered not acceptable.
7	The team stressed that the plastering work for the external walls shall be in full compliance to the Contract's requirements and the related details.
8	The team observed that the Contractor has not conducted the required rectification to the granite cladding and coping at the corridors and staircases areas.
9	The team observed debris and other dirt accumulation at the installed sanitary fixtures and requested the Contractor to remove to avoid the blocking of drainage pipes.
10	The team observed defects in tiling of a number of thresholds and the relation with the porcelain of the corridors. The Contractor was requested to rectify.
11	The team observed waving in the plastering for a number of internal walls which are already under the process of painting. The team requested the Contractor to rectify.
12	The team observed defects in the handrails of the stairs and the executed paint for those handrails. The Contractor was requested to repair before installing.



Project ID Sign
on Site





General view of the project site

(Figure: 01, 02, 03, 04 & 05)

(Figure: 01)



(Figure: 02)



(Figure: 03)



(Figure: 04)



(Figure: 05)



The team observed defects in the handrails of the stairs and the executed paint for those handrails. The Contractor was requested to repair before installing

(Figure: 06)



(Figure: 07)

The supervision team inspected the built stones at façades and the defects previously observed and agreed with the Contractor in the presence of the supplier to replace the stone of the front elevation. And to re-execute according to the method of statement



(Figure: 07 & 08)

(Figure: 08)



Deviation in the verticality and horizontality of some built stones was observed in some areas

(Figure: 09)



The team stressed that the plastering work for the external walls shall be in full compliance to the Contract's requirements and the related details

(Figure: 10)



The team discussed with the Contractor the tiling for the entrance floor and reminded the Contractor that the sloping of the tiling has to be directed to the outside to ensure water drainage away from the building

(Figure: 11)



The team inspected the installed main steel doors at the entrances of the building and observed deviation from the workshop drawings and architectural details. Difficulties in using the external door handle were observed due to wrong dimensions of steel sections

(Figure: 12 & 13)

(Figure: 12)



(Figure: 13)



The team observed a number of broken window sills and request the Contractor to repair

(Figure: 14)



The team observed that the wall tiling in some areas has been executed not in compliance with the workshop drawings. That led to cut-off pieces around 2.5 cm which is considered not acceptable

(Figure: 15 & 16)

(Figure: 15)



(Figure: 16)



The team observed that the Contractor has not conducted the required rectification to the granite cladding and coping at the corridors and staircases areas

(Figure: 17)



The team observed debris and other dirt accumulation at the installed sanitary fixtures and requested the Contractor to remove to avoid the blocking of drainage pipes

(Figure: 18)



The team observed defects in tiling of a number of thresholds and the relation with the porcelain of the corridors. The Contractor was requested to rectify

(Figure: 19)



The team observed waving in the plastering for a number of internal walls which are already under the process of painting. The team requested the Contractor to rectify

(Figure: 20)